



May, Cocagne & King, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

1353 E. MOUND ROAD, SUITE 300 DECATUR, ILLINOIS 62526-9344 217/875-2655 FAX 217/875-1660

ANDREW D. THOMAS
HELGA J. TOWLER
WILLIAM R. MOSS
CATHERINE J. MANSUR
STEVEN R. GROHNE
MARK S. WOOD
CHRISTIE K. STINSON
CRAIG T. NEGANGARD
DAVID S. BROWN

Report on the Firm's System of Quality Control

To the Partners of Evans, Marshall & Pease, P.C. and the
Peer Review Committee of the Illinois CPA Society

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Evans, Marshall & Pease, P.C. (the firm) in effect for the year ended June 30, 2017. Our peer review was conducted in accordance with the Standards for Performing and Reporting on Peer Reviews established by the Peer Review Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (Standards).

A summary of the nature, objectives, scope, limitations of, and the procedures performed in a System Review as described in the Standards may be found at www.aicpa.org/prsummary. The summary also includes an explanation of how engagements identified as not performed or reported in conformity with applicable professional standards, if any, are evaluated by a peer reviewer to determine a peer review rating.

Firm's Responsibility

The firm is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The firm is also responsible for evaluating actions to promptly remediate engagements deemed as not performed or reported in conformity with professional standards, when appropriate, and for remediating weaknesses in its system of quality control, if any.

Peer Reviewer's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the firm's compliance therewith based on our review.

Required Selections and Considerations

Engagements selected for review included engagements performed under *Government Auditing Standards*, including compliance audits under the Single Audit Act

As a part of our peer review, we considered reviews by regulatory entities as communicated by the firm, if applicable, in determining the nature and extent of our procedures.

Opinion

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Evans, Marshall & Pease, P.C. in effect for the year ended June 30, 2017, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Firms can receive a rating of *pass*, *pass with deficiency(ies)* or *fail*. Evans, Marshall & Pease, P.C. has received a peer review rating of *pass*.

May. Cooney & King, P.C.

January 18, 2018